Evaluator: Leah Miller



Superintendent's Summative Evaluation 2016-2017



Superintendent's Performance Goals

Step 1: Assess Progress Toward **HPS** Goals

Goals should be SMART and include at least one goal for each category: professional practice, student learning, and district improvement. *Check/mark with an "X" one box for each goal.*

Goal(s)	Description	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	Met	Exceeded		
Professional Practic	To develop a comprehensive education plan and advocacy strategy for the approval and funding for the Center School Building Project In collaboration with the School Building Committee, school and town officials, staff, parent groups, and other partners to secure the support needed (Special Town Meeting vote and Special Town Election vote) to fund the Center School project within the approval window required by the MSBA.				Х			
Student Learning								
2	Explore strategies and options for a potential "academy model" at HHS. In collaboration with the HHS Leadership team and Assistant Superintendent, we will embark on a comprehensive exploration of an "academy model" at Hanover High School to afford future HHS students multiple options for focused pathways within their selected areas of academic interest (for example - engineering, fine arts, bio-medical, public service, etc.).				х			
District Improvemen	District Improvement							
3	To effectively incorporate all school/town technology expenses into the annual School Department operating budget to maximize resources and reduce reliance on free cash. In collaboration with the Business Manager, Town Manager, Director of Technology Operations, and Town and School Department leaders, we will develop and recommend a strategy to reduce school/town reliance on free cash by incorporating technology expenses into the School Department's operating budget for FY 2018.				Х			

Community Engage	ement		
4	The Hanover Public Schools will develop organized lines of communication and a strategic partnership between the School Committee, Special Education Parent Advisory Council Leadership Team, and District Administration. In collaboration with the Director of Student Services, School Committee, SEPAC Leadership Team (Executive Board), and District level Administrators, we will 1) establish processes for consistent and organized communication between the three groups listed above, and 2) establish a structure that includes liaisons to represent each group on educational and operational issues.	×	

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership

Check one box for each indicator a	nd circle the overall standard rating.		Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-A. Curriculum: Ensures that all ins well-structured lessons with mea	tructional staff designs effective and rigorous standar sureable outcomes.	rds-based units of instruction consisting of			Х	
I-B. Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness.					Х	
I-C. Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning.					Х	
I-D. Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions.					Х	
I-E. Data-Informed Decision Making: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and school assessment results and growth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, educator effectiveness, and student learning.						Х
Overall Rating for Standard I (Circle/highlight one.)	The education leader promotes the learning vision that makes powerful teaching and lea		ss of all s	taff by cul	tivating a	shared
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary			
wide. They implemented ins	a, Mr. Feron and his team identified voo tructional rounds during professional de been very successful professional deve	velopment time this year, which foc		-		strict

Examples of evidence superintendent might provide:

]	Goals progress report
]	Analysis of classroom walk-throu
٦	Analysis of district assessment of

☐ Sample of district and school improvement plans and progress reports

Analysis	of staff	evaluation	data

- ☐ Report on educator practice and student learning goals
- ☐ Student achievement data
- □ Analysis of student feedback
- □ Analysis of staff feedback

]	Relevant school committee meeting agendas/materials	
-		

☐ Analysis of leadership team(s) agendas and/or feedback
☐ Protocol for school visits

Protocol for school visits
Other:

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management and Operations

Check one box for each indicator and circle the overall standard rating.	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
II-A. Environment: Develops and executes effective plans, procedures, routines, and operational systems to address a full range of safety, health, emotional, and social needs.			Х	
II-B. Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice.			Х	
II-C. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff.			Х	
II-D. Law, Ethics, and Policies: Understands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, collective bargaining agreements, and ethical guidelines.			Х	
II-E. Fiscal Systems: Develops a budget that supports the district's vision, mission, and goals; allocates and manages expenditures consistent with district- and school-level goals and available resources.			X	
Overall Rating for Standard II The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success	ss of all sta	off by ensi	ıring a sat	fe

(Circle/highlight one.)

motes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by ensuring a efficient, and effective learning environment, using resources to implement appropriate curriculum, staffing, and scheduling.

Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Proficient Exemplary

Comments and analysis:

The budget process is extremely transparent and efficient. Over the summer, due to some unexpected and extraneous budget expenses, the budget needed to be revised and adapted. Mr. Ferron and Dr. Raab managed the changes in a fiscally responsible way and were able to maintain the school goals with the remaining resources.

Examples of evidence superintendent might provide:

- ☐ Goals progress report □ Budget analyses and monitoring reports ☐ Budget presentations and related materials External reviews and audits ☐ Staff attendance, hiring, retention, and other HR data
- ☐ Analysis of student feedback ☐ Analysis of staff feedback ☐ Analysis of safety and crisis plan elements

and/or incidence reports

agendas/minutes/materials ☐ Analysis and/or samples of leadership team(s) schedule/agendas/materials □ Other:

□ Relevant school committee meeting

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement

Check one box for each indicator and	d circle the over	rall standard rating.			Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures the to the effectiveness of the classroom			om and school community	y and can contribute			Х	
III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continue development at home, school, and			keholders to support stude	ent learning and			Х	
III-C. Communication: Engages in regulation about student learning and perform		turally proficient communication w	vith families and communi	ty stakeholders				Х
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses fam	nily and communi	ty concerns in an equitable, effect	tive, and efficient manner.				Х	
Overall Rating for Standard III (Circle/highlight one.)		eader promotes the learning and gunity organizations, and other stak					ırtnerships	with
Unsatisfactory	Nee	eds Improvement	Proficient			Exemp	lary	
Comments and analysis:								
Examples of evidence superintendent migh	t provide:							
 ☐ Goals progress report ☐ Participation rates and other data about scl family engagement activities ☐ Evidence of community support and/or engagement activities 	hool and district	 □ Sample district and school new communications □ Analysis of school improvemen 		☐ Analysis of surverstakeholders☐ Relevant school	•	·		•

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture

Check one box for each indicator an	d circle the overall sta	andard rating.		Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-A. Commitment to High Standards expectations for achievement for		nmitment to high standards o	of service, teaching, and learning with high			Х	
IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures the diverse environment in which study			students to interact effectively in a culturally enges are respected.			Х	
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates	strong interpersonal, wr	itten, and verbal communica	ation skills.				Х
IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice.							Х
IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor.							Х
IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs stra consensus throughout a district o		o disagreement and dissent	, constructively resolving conflict and building			Х	
Overall Rating for Standard IV (Circle/highlight one.)			and growth of all students and the succe practice, high expectations, and continuo		•	uring and	
Unsatisfactory	Needs	mprovement	Proficient		Exemp	olary	
Comments and analysis: Examples of evidence superintendent migle	nt provide:						
□ Goals progress report □ District and school improvement plans and □ Staff attendance and other data □ Memos/newsletters to staff and other stak □ School visit protocol and sample follow-up □ Presentations/materials for community/pai	d reports	Analysis of staff feedback Samples of principal/administra School committee meeting age Sample of leadership team(s) a Analysis of staff feedback Other:	endas/materials				

DESE Goals Summary: Complete tables above for Standards I-IV first; then check/mark with an "X" one box for each standard.

Indicators		ınt		
Unsatisfactory = Performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of <i>Needs Improvement</i> , or performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both.	ory	Improveme		
Needs Improvement/Developing = Performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall but is not considered to be Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. For new superintendents, performance is on track to achieve proficiency within three years.	nsatisfactory		ient	olary
Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigorous expected level of performance. Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that practice significantly exceeds Proficient and could serve as a model of practice regionally or statewide.	Unsati	Needs	Proficient	Exemplary
Standard I: Instructional Leadership			X	
Standard II: Management and Operations			Х	
Standard III: Family and Community Engagement			Х	
Standard IV: Professional Culture				X

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent

<mark>Step 3</mark> : Rate Overall S	Summative Performance (Based on Step	1 and Step 2 ratings; circl	le/highligh	t one.)		
Unsatisfactor	y Needs Improvement	Proficient		Exemplary		
<mark>Step 4</mark> : Rate Impact o	n Student Learning (<i>Check/Mark with an</i>	"X" only one.)	Low	Moderate	High	
<mark>Step 5</mark> : Add Evaluator	Comments					
Comments and analysis are Impact on Student Learning	recommended for any rating but are required for an rating of high or low	overall summative rating of Exe	mplary, Need	ls Improvement or U	<i>Insatisfactory</i> or	
Comments:						
Superintendent:	Matthew A. Ferron					
Evaluator:	Leah Miller			3.26	6.17	
	Name	Signature		Da	nte	