Summative Evaluation Plan: Final

Superintendent Evaluation Process for FY 2014

August 6, 2014

Final steps required for the Summative Evaluation:

- 1. School Committee members approve the plan for Superintendent's evaluation (August 6th).
- 2. Following the procedure outlined in Appendix C below, complete the evaluation document <u>focusing on the 10 indicators identified</u> below. Steps one, two, three, and four are the key summative measures. Step 3 is your overall rating on my performance last year.
- 3. Forward your completed document to Chairman Marriner by Monday, August 18th.
- 4. The Chairman will review the individual evaluations and draft a summative evaluation for the Committee to consider. The draft summative will be posted no later than Monday, August 25th.
- 5. At the August 27th School Committee meeting, the Committee will discuss the summative evaluation, make suggestions, and vote to approve. The final document and each member's individual evaluation document will be a public record.
- 6. I will present my first draft of my FY 2015 goals at that meeting to begin the approval process for next year as early in the year as possible.

Standard I: Instructional Leadership	Standard II: Management & Operations	Standard III: Family and Community Engagement	Standard IV: Professional Culture
A. Curriculum Indicator 1. Standards-Based Unit Design 2. Lesson Development Support	A. Environment Indicator 1. Plans, Procedures, and Routines 2. Operational Systems 3. Student Safety, Health, and Social and Emotional Needs	A. Engagement Indicator 1. Family Engagement 2. Community and Business Engagement	A. Commitment to High Standards Indicator 1. Commitment to High Standards 2. Mission and Core Values 3. Meetings
B. Instruction Indicator 1. Instructional Practices 2. Quality of Effort & Work 3. Diverse Learners' Needs	B. Human Resources Management & Development Indicator 1. Recruitment & Hiring Strategies 2. Induction, Professional Development, and Career Growth Strategies	B. Sharing Responsibility Indicator 1. Student Support 2. Family Collaboration	B. Cultural Proficiency Indicator 1. Policies and Practices
C. Assessment Indicator 1. Variety of Assessments 2. Adjustment to Practice	C. Scheduling & Management Information Systems Indicator 1. Time for Teaching and Learning 2. Time for Collaboration	C. Communication Indicator 1. Two-Way Communication 2. Culturally Proficient Communication	C. Communications Indicator 1. Communication Skills
D. Evaluation Indicator 1. Educator Goals 2. Observation s & Feedback 3. Ratings 4. Alignment Review	D. Law, Ethics & Policies Indicator 1. Laws and Policies 2. Ethical Behavior	D. Family Concerns Indicator 1. Family Concerns	D. Continuous Learning Indicator 1. Continuous Learning of Staff 2. Continuous Learning of Administrator
E. Data-Informed Decision Making Indicator 1. Knowledge & Use of Data 2. School and District Goals 3. Improvement of Performance, Effectiveness, and Learning	E. Fiscal Systems Indicator 1. Fiscal Systems		E. Shared Vision Indicator 1. Shared Vision Development
			F. Managing Conflict Indicator 1. Response to Disagreement 2. Conflict Resolution 3. Consensus Building

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent

Superintendent:	Matthew A.	Ferron						
Evaluator:		Name		Signature		Da	ate	
<mark>Step 1</mark> : Assess Progre	ss Toward Go	oals (Complete page	3 first; circle one fo	or each set of goal[s].)				
Professional Practic	ce Goal(s)	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	Met	:	Excee	ded
Student Learning G	ioal(s)	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	Met		Excee	ded
District Improvemer	nt Goal(s)	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	Met		Excee	ded
Step 2: Assess Perforr	mance on Sta	 ndards (<i>Complete pa</i>	nges 4–7 first; then	check one box for each	standaı	rd.)		
		Indicators				ınt		
Unsatisfactory = Performance on a below the requirements of a standar			wing a rating of Needs Improver	ment, or performance is consistently	ory	oveme	r T	
Needs Improvement/Developing = Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement				all but is not considered to be chieve proficiency within three years.	sfacto	Impre	icie	olary
Proficient = Proficient practice is Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary		•			Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
Standard I: Instructi	ional Leadership							
Standard II: Manag	ement and Opera	tions						
Standard III: Family	and Community	Engagement						
Standard IV: Profes	ssional Culture							

End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent

<mark>o 3</mark> : Rate Overall Summativ	e Performance (<i>Based on Step 1 ar</i>	nd Step 2 ratings; circle o	one.)			
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient		Exemplary		
<mark>p 4</mark> : Rate Impact on Stude	nt Learning (<i>Check only one.</i>)		Low	Moderate □	High □	
5: Add Evaluator Comme	nts					
nents and analysis are recommen of on Student Learning rating of <i>hi</i>	nded for any rating but are required for an overigh or low.	rall summative rating of Exemp	lary, Need	ls Improvement or Ui	nsatisfacto	
nments:	V					

Superintendent's Performance Goals

Goals should be SMART and include at least one goal for each category: professional practice, student learning, and district improvement. *Check one box for each goal.*

neck one box for each go	an.					
Goal(s)	Description	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	Met	Exceeded
Professional Practice						
1	New Superintendent Induction Program. Develop skills in strategy development, data analysis, and instructional leadership by completing the first year of the New Superintendent Induction Program and earning at least Proficient ratings on each major assignment.					
Student Learning						
2	Maintaining Momentum During the Transition. Keeping the district moving forward during this year's transition in leadership by working with principals and other district leaders to ensure that meaningful progress is made on critical district and school goals.					
District Improvement		I.	I			<u>I</u>
3	By spring 2014, the district will have broad agreement from key school community representatives (School Committee, staff, families, community members) about (a) the district's most critical needs, (b) the strategies and goals that will address them most effectively, and (c) the measures that will be used to assess progress.					

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership

Check one box for each indicator and	circle the overall standard rating.		Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-A. Curriculum: Ensures that all instructional staff design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of well-structured lessons with measureable outcomes.						
I-B. Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness.						
I-C. Assessment: Ensures that all principals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and informal methods and assessments to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to their practice when students are not learning.						
I-D. Evaluation: Ensures effective and timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract provisions.						
	Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including with data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational learning.					
Overall Rating for Standard I (Circle one.)	The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all s vision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central for		ess of all s	staff by cul	tivating a	shared
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement Profic	ient		Exemp	olary	
Comments and analysis (recommendation of evidence superintendent might particular to the commendation of evidence superintende	nded for any overall rating; required for overall rating of Exe	emplary, Needs Impro	ovement	or Unsat	isfactory):

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management and Operations

Check one box for each indicator and	d circle the overall standard rating.		Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
II-A. Environment: Develops and exec						
safety, health, emotional, and social needs. II-B. Human Resources Management and Development: Implements a cohesive approach to recruiting, hiring, induction, development, and career growth that promotes high-quality and effective practice.						
II-C. Scheduling and Management Information Systems: Uses systems to ensure optimal use of data and time for teaching, learning, and collaboration, minimizing disruptions and distractions for school-level staff.						
II-D. Law, Ethics, and Policies: Under collective bargaining agreements,	nderstands and complies with state and federal laws and mandates, school committee policies, ents, and ethical guidelines.					
	et that supports the district's vision, mission, and gour level goals and available resources.	als; allocates and manages expenditures				
Overall Rating for Standard II (Circle one.)	The education leader promotes the learning a efficient, and effective learning environment, u	•		•	•	-
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient		Exemp	lary	
Comments and analysis (recomm	ended for any overall rating; required for ov	erall rating of <i>Exemplary, Needs Impr</i>	ovement	or <i>Unsati</i>	sfactory)	:
Examples of evidence superintendent might ☐ Goals progress report	provide: Analysis of student feedback	☐ Relevant scho	ol committee	e meetina		
□ Budget analyses and monitoring reports □ Budget presentations and related materials □ External reviews and audits □ Staff attendance, hiring, retention, and other	 Analysis of staff feedback Analysis of safety and crisis plan and/or incidence reports 	agendas/minu	es/materials r samples of	s f leadership	team(s)	

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard III: Family and Community Engagement

								/
Check one box for each indicator and	l circle the overa	all standard rating.			Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
III-A. Engagement: Actively ensures that to the effectiveness of the classroo			oom and school community a	and can contribute				
III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continuously collaborates with families and community stakeholders to support student learning and development at home, school, and in the community.								
III-C. Communication: Engages in regular, two-way, culturally proficient communication with families and community stakeholders about student learning and performance.								
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses family and community concerns in an equitable, effective, and efficient manner.								
Overall Rating for Standard III (Circle one.)		ader promotes the learning and nity organizations, and other stake	_		•	•	artnerships	with
Unsatisfactory	Unsatisfactory Needs Improvement Proficient Exemplary							
Comments and analysis (recomme	ended for any o	overall rating; required for o	overall rating of <i>Exempl</i>	ary, Needs Impre	ovement	or <i>Unsati</i>	sfactory)	:
Examples of evidence superintendent might	provide:							
 □ Goals progress report □ Participation rates and other data about sch family engagement activities □ Evidence of community support and/or engagement 		 Sample district and school new communications Analysis of school improvemer Community organization memb contributions 	nt goals/reports	□ Analysis of sur stakeholders □ Relevant school □ Other:	•	·		•

Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture

Check one box for each indicator and	circle the overall standard rating.		Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: expectations for achievement for a						
IV-B. Cultural Proficiency: Ensures that policies and practices enable staff members and students to interact effectively in a culturally diverse environment in which students' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and challenges are respected.						
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates st	rong interpersonal, written, and verbal communica	ation skills.				
IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice.						
IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor.						
IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community.						
Overall Rating for Standard IV (Circle one.)	The education leader promotes the learning sustaining a districtwide culture of reflective	•		•	uring and	
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient		Exemp	olary	
Examples of evidence superintendent might Goals progress report District and school improvement plans and restrict attendance and other data	☐ Presentations/materials for cor	nmunity/parent meetings	staff feedback		isfactory)	:

Appendix A. School Committee Responsibilities

- 1. Know and understand the rubric that describes the Standards and Indicators of Effective Superintendent Leadership.
- 2. Participate in on-line or in-person training to strengthen capacity to implement the Massachusetts Model System for Superintendent Evaluation effectively and with integrity.¹
- 3. **School committee chair.** Oversee the superintendent evaluation process and ensure that all steps in the process are conducted effectively and with integrity.
- 4. Identify the superintendent's strengths and areas for improvement and make recommendations for improvement.
- 5. Ensure that the goals and actions detailed in the Superintendent's Annual Plan are:
 - a. Challenging
 - b. Measurable
 - c. Focused on high-priority needs of the district's students
- 6. **School committee chair.** Lead the mid-cycle goals review meeting and end-of-cycle summative evaluation meeting.
- 7. Ensure that the End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report contains accurate information and appropriately reflects the superintendent's individual performance.

Appendix B. Superintendent Responsibilities

- 1. Know and understand the rubric that describes the Standards and Indicators of Effective Superintendent Leadership.
- 2. Participate in on-line or in-person training to strengthen capacity to implement the Massachusetts Model System for Superintendent Evaluation effectively and with integrity.
- 3. Prepare for the goal setting and plan development meeting with the school committee:
 - a. Complete a self-assessment of practice using the rubric.
 - b. Analyze data on student learning, growth, and achievement.
 - c. Analyze student and staff data, where available.1
 - d. Assess district and school progress, strengths, and areas in need of improvement.
 - e. Seek input from the administrative team and others, as appropriate.
 - f. Draft three to six goals ("SMART"),² each with key strategies, timelines, and benchmarks of progress:
 - At least one goal to improve student learning
 - At least one goal to improve his or her own professional practice
 - Goals for district improvement
- 4. Meet with the school committee to discuss the professional practice and student learning goals you are proposing. Collaborate with the school committee to identify district improvement goals. Accept revisions determined by the school committee.
- 5. Implement the plan's goals, and gather data, artifacts, and other evidence that demonstrates performance in relation to the Standards, progress in attaining the goals, and impact on student learning.
- 6. Prepare a mid-cycle report on progress on the goals and present it to the school committee.
- 7. Prepare an end-of-cycle report on progress on the goals and performance on the Standards.
- 8. Participate actively in the end-of-cycle evaluation meeting.

Appendix C. Step-by-Step—Conducting the End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation

Step 1: Individual members assess goal attainment. First, each committee member reviews the superintendent's End-of-Cycle Progress Report and other relevant evidence to make an individual determination of the progress the superintendent has made on the goals detailed in the plan. Progress toward each goal is described as:

- Did Not Meet
- Some Progress
- Significant Progress
- Met
- Exceeded

Step 2: Individual members rate performance against the Standards. Next, each member renders a judgment about the superintendent's performance against each Standard, rating it:

- Unsatisfactory
- Needs Improvement
- Proficient
- Exemplary

To reach a judgment on each standard, the committee member assesses performance against each of the 10 agreed upon indicators, taking into account, at a minimum, the progress on the goals most directly related to each standard. A rating of *Proficient* represents fully satisfactory performance. A rating of *Exemplary* is reserved for performance that exceeds *Proficient and* represents a regional or state model. For new superintendents, a rating of *Needs Improvement* should be seen as developing, reflecting the judgment that the new superintendent's performance on this indicator or standard is on track to becoming *Proficient* within three years.

A primary purpose of the five-step evaluation cycle is continuous improvement. Thoughtful feedback is important for continuous improvement. Committee members should support their ratings with written comments, citing the evidence they found most compelling as they decided on ratings. Although written comments are encouraged for all ratings, they are essential when ratings point to *Exemplary* or less-than-*Proficient* performance.

Step 3: Individual members rate overall performance. Third, each member renders a single overall summative judgment of the superintendent's performance based on performance against each of the four Standards and attainment of the goals detailed in the Superintendent's Annual Plan. As with each standard, the overall rating is one of four: *Unsatisfactory, Needs Improvement, Proficient,* or *Exemplary*. Again, the high standard for a rating of *Proficient* and the even higher standard for a rating of *Exemplary* prevail. As in Step 2, ratings of *Exemplary, Unsatisfactory* or *Needs Improvement* should be accompanied by written comments explaining the rationale and evidence for the rating.

- **Step 4: Individual members rate impact on student learning**. Finally, based on trends and patterns on district-determined measures of student learning, MCAS Student Growth Percentile, and Massachusetts English Proficiency Assessment (MEPA) gain scores (when available), each member renders an individual judgment of the superintendent's impact on student learning.
- **Step 5: The chair compiles individual ratings and drafts summative evaluation**. The chair, or designee, compiles the individual ratings and drafts the End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report to present to the superintendent and school committee in advance of a public meeting. When compiling individual members' evaluation reports, the chair is encouraged to consider discounting individual End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Reports that rate the superintendent's performance as *Unsatisfactory*, *Needs Improvement* or *Exemplary* without written comments that explain the rating. Please note that both the individual and committee evaluations are public documents under Massachusetts law. ¹
- Step 6: Committee discusses and adopts End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report. At a public meeting of the school committee at which the superintendent is present, committee members review the draft, discuss proposed revisions, and adopt a final End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report that is subsequently placed in the superintendent's personnel file.