## **Evaluator: Kimberly Mills-Booker**



# **Superintendent's Summative Evaluation 2017-2018**



### **Superintendent's Performance Goals**

#### **Step 1**: Assess Progress Toward **HPS** Goals

Goals should be SMART and include at least one goal for each category: professional practice, student learning, and district improvement. *Mark with an "X" one box for each goal.* 

Goal(s)	Description	Did Not Meet	Some Progress	Significant Progress	Met	Exceeded
District Improvemen	nt					
1	To redesign educational experiences at Hanover High School and enhance curriculum to capitalize on teacher expertise and provide greater student choice. This year, we will further our pursuit and development of innovation academies. This development will focus on curriculum design and course selection to personalize the high school experience for all students. We will work with the faculty at the high school to design effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction that reflect high expectations regarding content and effort of work.					X
2	To develop a comprehensive Program of Studies for Hanover Middle School, to identify opportunities for alignment with the Innovation Academy design at HHS, and to explore opportunities for personalized learning at HMS.  This year, we will work to develop a comprehensive Program of Studies intended to provide clarity to the HMS curriculum for students, staff, and families. During this process, we will seek to identify opportunities to align programming with the Innovation Academy development at HHS and to increase opportunities for personalized learning experiences at HMS.			х		
3	To develop and document a sequential K-12 technology curriculum that is aligned to the state and national standards, and to lead professional development activities designed to effectively integrate technology and increase digital literacy in the classroom in all curriculum areas.  To continue to increase relevancy and improve student learning through additional teacher training in technology integration and digital literacy in the classroom.			х		

4	To develop and implement a strategy for community outreach regarding the impact of social media, media addiction, and overall "screen time" that will address the psychological, emotional, and physiological challenges related to these issues.  In partnership with key collaborators, we will develop and implement an educational strategy for our community (with a focus on students and families) intended to address the increasing challenges of media addiction and related subjects.			×
Professional Prac	ctice	<u> </u>		1
5	To pursue personal and Leadership Team professional development opportunities in the area of personalized learning and to leverage these experiences for successful implementation of the HHS Connect Project.  Increase expertise related to personalized learning and school design. Continue to educate the community on the changes that are necessary for project advancement.		x	

#### **Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard I: Instructional Leadership**

Mark	with an "X" one box for each in	dicator and circle the overall standard rating.	Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
I-A.	Curriculum: Ensures that all inst well-structured lessons with meas	ructional staff designs effective and rigorous standards-based units of instruction consisting of sureable outcomes.		Х		
I-B.	I-B. Instruction: Ensures that practices in all settings reflect high expectations regarding content and quality of effort and work, engage all students, and are personalized to accommodate diverse learning styles, needs, interests, and levels of readiness.			X		
I-C.		incipals and administrators facilitate practices that propel personnel to use a variety of formal and into to measure student learning, growth, and understanding and make necessary adjustments to not learning.		X		
I-D.	<b>Evaluation:</b> Ensures effective an provisions.	d timely supervision and evaluation of all staff in alignment with state regulations and contract			Χ	
I-E.		g: Uses multiple sources of evidence related to student learning—including state, district, and rowth data—to inform school and district goals and improve organizational performance, ent learning.		X		
	rall Rating for Standard I ele/highlight one.)	The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the successision that makes powerful teaching and learning the central focus of schooling.	ss of all s	taff by cul	tivating a	shared

## Unsatisfactory Comments and analysis:

There are some exciting changes coming to Hanover. I was very excited to hear the presentation from the high school and to read the course offerings. Adding college classes is a great opportunity for our high school students to take other classes not traditionally offered at the school while earning college credit. I am also excited that American Sign Language may be offered to fulfill the foreign language requirement going forward.

**Needs Improvement** 

**Proficient** 

The course work at the high school level is built on the foundation of the middle and elementary schools. I have some concerns at those levels. It is important to remember that although we many plan for the future, a student only has one chance at each grade. The skills learned in lower

**Exemplary** 

grades scaffold more learning in the upper grades. It is important to get it right. It is important to focus on why we are here—to educate our children.

Although your administration team responded quickly, I was disheartened to learn that grade level content was taught in the extended learning block at the middle school. At first look that may seem ok, but in Hanover students on IEPs use that block to get the support they need. Under that system, special education student on an IEP would miss up to half of the content of a subject. That is not acceptable. When I raised this concern to the administration, the response was that they did not know this practice was occurring. The assistant superintendent and principal at the middle school did make a change. The extended learning block is now used for review instead of new content. However, that still puts our special education students at a disadvantage as they will no longer receive review that the general education population receives. We need to do better by our students. This should never have reached the level of parental identification. It is inequitable to have a student who receives special education miss content. It is also not ok that special education students miss review that the general education population receives.

The middle school has also had staffing challenges. This year, the staffing is not adequate. Many required services on IEP are not being met. There is also a dearth of certified, not just trained, practitioners in Orton Gillingham and Wilson Reading System. That needs to change.

We also need to ensure that Orton Gillingham, Wilson, and any other programs are implemented with fidelity. As part of my work on the special education subcommittee I facilitated a telephone conference with the Wilson Reading System literacy specialist and several members of the Hanover administration, to make sure the schools were following the curriculum as designed. I also attended the three day seminar to familiarize myself with the program, when basic questions were not answered. Although I was able to do that, parents should not be expected to investigate how a curriculum should be implemented and bring that information to the school. It should be the schools responsibility. For example, an average parent should not have to identify the difference between the WADE (Wilson Assessment of Decoding and Encoding) and the WIST (Word identification and Spelling Test) and when they should be administered, but the schools using the Wilson Reading Program should. We also need to make sure that there is adequate time devoted to the program. For example having Wilson Reading 3 x 45 is not adequate. Multisensory reading should be daily or for a longer period of time to be implemented with fidelity.

In the elementary school, I am concerned that we are not meeting our students' literacy needs. One of the programs I am concerned with is LLI (Leveled Literacy Intervention). LLI is used in Hanover. If the data shows that it is working, then it should be used. However, a recent study actually showed that "LLI had no impact on students' reading comprehension and a negative impact on mastery of English language arts/literacy standards. [And] students who received more LLI or were pulled out of other classes to receive LLI were particularly negatively affected, possibly as a result of missing grade-level content." See <a href="https://www.mathematica-mpr.com/our-publications-and-findings/publications/leveled-literacy-intervention-for-secondary-students-results-from-a-randomized-controlled-trial">https://www.mathematica-mpr.com/our-publications-and-findings/publications/leveled-literacy-intervention-for-secondary-students-results-from-a-randomized-controlled-trial</a> I reached out to the administration about this program and we have agreed to discuss further. It is important to use data to support using any program.

Reading is the fundamental building block for a solid education. Although many people believe that reading is a natural skill—it is not always the case. Hanover has not implemented a comprehensive literacy program and that is impacting all other aspects of our students' education. When a

student does not make progress in reading, it not only affects their ability to read. It affects the whole child. It affects that child self-esteem. It affects the other academic areas. It affects their social status. It caused harm to that child self-worth. It causes irreparable harm to that child's image of themselves. We need to do better.

An individualized education plan also needs to meet the needs of the individual students and not the needs of the district. For example, Hanover is a split district for multi-sensory reading. That is Cedar uses Orton Gillingham and Center/Sylvester Wilson Reading System. It is not equitable to have a one size fits all approach based solely on geography. The new district wide program will give us more opportunity to meet the needs of our students, but we must ensure equity until reconfiguration takes place.

We also need to ensure we have equity in other areas. A concern that was raised this year was over recess. After discussing recess with the administration, there were not equitable ratios between the two elementary schools. That should change. A student in the second grade in Hanover should have similar, equitable experiences.

We need to make sure we have a clear policy that limits using recess as punishment. We also need to make sure that students are supported at recess if needed.

The schools also need to align vertically. If a student uses assistive technology, for example, the elementary and middle school should use the same program. The elementary and middle schools also need to maintain a consistent reporting method for reporting a student's progress. They need to work together to identify a continuous method. An example of this is the elementary school uses a DRA and Fountas and Pinnell level of reading, but the middle school does not. It should align so that one reporting method is used throughout the district.

#### Examples of evidence superintendent might provide:

☐ Goals progress report	☐ Analysis of staff evaluation data	☐ Relevant school committee meeting agendas/materials
☐ Analysis of classroom walk-through data	☐ Report on educator practice and student learning goals	☐ Analysis of leadership team(s) agendas and/or feedback
☐ Analysis of district assessment data	☐ Student achievement data	☐ Protocol for school visits
☐ Sample of district and school improvement plans	☐ Analysis of student feedback	☐ Other:
and progress reports	☐ Analysis of staff feedback	

#### **Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard II: Management and Operations**

Unsatisfactory
Needs
Improvement
Proficient
Exemplary

Mark with an "X" one box for each indicator and circle the overall standard rating.

II-A. Environment: Develops and exect safety, health, emotional, and soci		Х						
II-B. Human Resources Management development, and career growth the			Х					
	formation Systems: Uses systems to ensure op zing disruptions and distractions for school-level		ng,		Х			
II-D. Law, Ethics, and Policies: Under collective bargaining agreements,	stands and complies with state and federal laws and ethical guidelines.	and mandates, school committee po	licies,		Х			
	get that supports the district's vision, mission, and level goals and available resources.	d goals; allocates and manages expe	nditures			Х		
Overall Rating for Standard II (Circle/highlight one.)	The education leader promotes the learnin efficient, and effective learning environmen	•		•	•			
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient		Exemp	olary			
Comments and analysis:  The budget process is very transparent. It is well thought out and done with precision.  As I have stated in previous years the morale at the schools have improved since you began your tenure here. This year, I was concerned that there were some times that the "fun activities" of the administration were given precedent over the main focus educating our students. I am concerned that the pendulum is swinging too far in that direction. I would like to see a renewed focus on the main objective of education.  Examples of evidence superintendent might provide:								
□ Goals progress report       □ Analysis of student feedback       □ Relevant school committee meeting agendas/minutes/materials         □ Budget analyses and monitoring reports       □ Analysis of staff feedback       agendas/minutes/materials         □ Budget presentations and related materials       □ Analysis of safety and crisis plan elements and/or incidence reports       □ Analysis and/or samples of leadership team(s) schedule/agendas/materials         □ Staff attendance, hiring, retention, and other HR data       □ Other:								
Superintendent's Perfo	ormance Rating for Standar	d III: Family and Con	nmunity Eng	gageme	ent			

Mark with an "X" one box for each inc	dicator and circle the overall standard rating.		Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary
	at all families are welcome members of the classroom, school, district, and community.	om and school community and can contribut	е			Х
III-B. Sharing Responsibility: Continue development at home, school, and	ously collaborates with families and community stated in the community.	ceholders to support student learning and				Х
III-C. Communication: Engages in regulation about student learning and perform	ular, two-way, culturally proficient communication wnance.	ith families and community stakeholders			Х	
III-D. Family Concerns: Addresses fam	nily and community concerns in an equitable, effect	ive, and efficient manner.				Х
Overall Rating for Standard III (Circle/highlight one.)	The education leader promotes the learning and g families, community organizations, and other stak		_	-	artnerships	with
		<b>—</b> 41 1		_		
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient		Exemp	olary	
Comments and analysis: Communy You listen to many different view.  The one concern I have with commany time you can, you should.  You are good talking to parents a	nity engagement is your biggest strength.  points.  munication is that you need to communicate  nd communicating with them. Your posit	You are a very good leader. You en	be feasible	with the o	situation	ı, but
You listen to many different view  The one concern I have with com any time you can, you should.	nity engagement is your biggest strength.  points.  munication is that you need to communicate  nd communicating with them. Your posit ble to the community.	You are a very good leader. You en	be feasible	with the o	situation	ı, but

## **Superintendent's Performance Rating for Standard IV: Professional Culture**

Mark with an "X" one box for each inc	licator and circle the overall standard rating		Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient	Exemplary	
IV-A. Commitment to High Standards: expectations for achievement for a	Fosters a shared commitment to high standard	s of service, teaching, and learning with high			Х		
	t policies and practices enable staff members a ents' backgrounds, identities, strengths, and cha				Х		
IV-C. Communication: Demonstrates s	trong interpersonal, written, and verbal commun	ication skills.			X		
IV-D. Continuous Learning: Develops and nurtures a culture in which staff members are reflective about their practice and use student data, current research, best practices, and theory to continuously adapt practice and achieve improved results. Models these behaviors in his or her own practice.					X		
IV-E. Shared Vision: Successfully and continuously engages all stakeholders in the creation of a shared educational vision in which every student is prepared to succeed in postsecondary education and become a responsible citizen and global contributor.					Х		
IV-F. Managing Conflict: Employs strategies for responding to disagreement and dissent, constructively resolving conflict and building consensus throughout a district or school community.					X		
Overall Rating for Standard IV (Circle/highlight one.)	The education leader promotes the learning and growth of all students and the success of all staff by nurturing and						
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient		Exemp	lary		
Comments and analysis: The position of handling the different areas.	tion of the superintendent is multi-facet	red and demanding. It requires a lot of ar	nyone. Y	ou have	done a go	ood job	
Examples of evidence superintendent might	provide:						
□ Goals progress report □ District and school improvement plans and □ Staff attendance and other data □ Memos/newsletters to staff and other stake □ School visit protocol and sample follow-up r □ Presentations/materials for community/pare	holders						

## DESE Goals Summary: Complete tables above for Standards I-IV first; then check/mark with an "X" one box for each standard.

Indicators		ent		
<b>Unsatisfactory</b> = Performance on a standard or overall has not significantly improved following a rating of <i>Needs Improvement</i> , or performance is consistently below the requirements of a standard or overall and is considered inadequate, or both.	ory	roveme		
<b>Needs Improvement/Developing</b> = Performance on a standard or overall is below the requirements of a standard or overall but is not considered to be Unsatisfactory at the time. Improvement is necessary and expected. For new superintendents, performance is on track to achieve proficiency within three years.	nsatisfactory	lmp	ient	olary
Proficient = Proficient practice is understood to be fully satisfactory. This is the rigorous expected level of performance.  Exemplary = A rating of Exemplary indicates that practice significantly exceeds Proficient and could serve as a model of practice regionally or statewide.		Needs	Proficient	Exemplary
Exemplary - Artuing of Exemplary indicates that practice significantly exceeds information and could serve as a model of practice regionally of statewide.	<b>D</b>	Z	Ь	Ш
Standard I: Instructional Leadership		X		
Standard II: Management and Operations			X	
Standard III: Family and Community Engagement				Х
Standard IV: Professional Culture			Χ	

## **End-of-Cycle Summative Evaluation Report: Superintendent**

Step 3: Rate Overall S	ummative Performance ( <i>Based on Ste</i>	p 1 and Step 2 ratings; ci	ircle/highligh	t one.)	
Unsatisfactory	Needs Improvement	Proficient		Exempl	ary
<mark>Step 4</mark> : Rate Impact or	າ Student Learning ( <i>Check/Mark with a</i>	n "X" only one.)	Low	Moderate X	High
<mark>Step 5</mark> : Add Evaluator	Comments				
Comments and analysis are mpact on Student Learning	recommended for any rating but are required for	an overall summative rating of E	Exemplary, Need	s Improvement or U	<i>Insatisfactory</i> or
Comments:	rating of mgm of 10w.				
important that we meet th	ong literacy program for our district. It is also ne needs of our students as they are where the e look at the whole child. That includes makings.	y are. That means we should	not restrict a pr	ogram based on g	geography. We
Superintendent:	Matthew A. Ferron				
Evaluator:	Kimberly Mills-Booker	s  Kimberly ,	Mills-		